

The University of Texas at Austin Electrical and Computer Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering

Spring 2023

ADVANCED TOPICS IN COMPUTER VISION

Atlas Wang Assistant Professor, The University of Texas at Austin

Visual Informatics Group@UT Austin https://vita-group.github.io/

(←) (√) [√] (→)

TEXAS ELECTRICAL AND COMPUTER ENGINEERING

Course Logistics

We meet on MW 10:30am - noon (BUR 130)

Do I have to come to the classroom, or can I audit?



- After-class communication: Slack (link sent) IMPORTANT!
- Class materials are distributed on Course Webpage (NOT Canvas): <u>https://vita-group.github.io/spring_23.html</u>
- We do not follow any textbook closely. Instead, we have many "recommended materials".
- Instructor Office Hour: 11am noon every Tuesday, at EER office 6.886
- **TA Office Hour:** 4 5 pm every Thursday, at EER 3.854
- Online Q&A: anytime, just ask on Slack!

Overview & Prerequisite

- Computer vision is a HUGE field. This class is designed to cover just "several drops" in the ocean, focusing on the "hot and fresh" frontiers (e.g., I had to re-make 40-50% slides since its last offering)
- Lectures are mixture of detailed techniques and high-level ideas.

This class is NOT designed for pure "beginners"

- We will speak technical language quickly from Day 1
- You are assumed to already be familiar with: Linear Algebra, Convex Optimization, Probability & Stochastic Process, Image & Video Processing
- You are assumed to know many basics about (but not an expert on): Digital Signal Processing, Image & Video Processing, Machine Learning & Data Mining

A Few More Assumptions that I'll make...

- You have some very basic understanding of Deep Learning
 - e.g., you should have already heard about LeNet or AlexNet; have known what convolution or fullyconnected layers were; etc.
 - A (perhaps) quantifiable self-check "baseline": you already know how to do MNIST/CIFAR-10 classification, both theoretically and experimentally, using a convolutional neural network (CNN)
- You are comfortable with Python & PyTorch, and enjoy "keeping hands dirty"
 - Since this is a graduate-facing class, **NO** basic coding or data science "crush course" will be offered
- You are prepared to pay full attention to our intensive, fast-forwarding contents
 - This field is developed at an unprecedentedly high pace, so will this class be

Grading Policy

- Class Participation: 10% (what does this mean?)
- Mid-term exam: 20% (Time TBD)
- Final Project: 70%
 - Progress report (**15%**) <u>Due by the end of Week 8 (3/05 Sunday</u>): 2-Page report, including project title, team member, problem description, preliminary literature survey, the proposed technical plan, and references
 - Presentation (20%): Be prepared to be challenged by your peers and the instructor
 - Code review (15%): Write clean, well-documented and runnable codes, PLEASE
 - Final Report (20%): (8+1)-page report following the standard CVPR paper template (and quality level)
 - Template: <u>http://cvpr2020.thecvf.com/sites/default/files/2019-09/cvpr2020AuthorKit.zip</u>

Project Guidance

- **Teaming:** we encourage <u>2-3 students</u> to form a team, as you are expected to carry on a semester-long research project with substantial innovations.
 - Teams with more or fewer members may be well justified to be approved by the instructor
 - You are encouraged to use the slack channel *"project_team"* to recruit teammates
- Each project team must be registered to and approved by the end of Week 7 (2/26 Sunday).
 - A Google Sheet will be provided for team registration
- **Topic:** your choice, but must be relevant to computer vision
 - What if I don't have a specific idea now ? Talk to the instructor & the TA ...
- Some good suggestions developed by TAs before: <u>https://vita-group.github.io/Fall22/0901_project.pdf</u>
 - How to develop a good project timeline? How to write good project proposal and report? Any example or "template"?

Feature learning: Going Deep



Deep learning

- Learn a *feature hierarchy* all the way from raw inputs (e.g. pixels) to classifier
- Each layer extracts features from the output of previous layer
- Train all layers jointly



Status Quo



Trends in the past decade:

- To build increasingly larger, deeper networks, trained with more massive data, based on the benefits of high-performance computing.
- Play with the connectivity and add "skips"







Grand Challenges

- Why/how deep learning works?
 - In theory, many cases shouldn't even work...
 - Gap between engineering (or art) and science: Lack of theoretical understandings & guarantees, and analytical tools
 - Training is computationally expensive and difficult, relying on many "magics"
 - No principled way to incorporate domain expertise, or to interpret the model behaviors



Extract Logic in either symbolic or fuzzy form

Extract Decision Trees contraining Logic

From fully connected to convolutional networks



This input is only a 2D slice (single channel)... what if the input has multiple channels (3D)?

A filter of size $F \times F$ applied to an input containing **C** channels is a $F \times F \times C$ volume that performs convolutions on an input of size $I \times I \times C$ and produces an output feature map (also called activation map) of size $O \times O \times 1$.

For more dimensionality check: <u>https://stanford.edu/~shervine/teaching/cs-</u> 230/cheatsheet-convolutional-neural-networks

image

Convolutional layer

Convolution as feature extraction



Feature Map



Input

Feature Map

How to Train: "Chain Rule"





- 5 by 5 kernel
- Average pooling
- Sigmoid or tanh nonlinearity
- Fully connected layers at the end
- Trained on MNIST digit dataset with 60K training examples

Y. LeCun, L. Bottou, Y. Bengio, and P. Haffner, <u>Gradient-based learning applied to document recognition</u>, Proc. IEEE 86(11): 2278–2324, 1998.

AlexNet, 2012



- The **FIRST** winner deep model in computer vision, and one of the most classical choices for domain experts to adapt for their applications
- 5 convolutional layers + 3 fully-connected layers + softmax classifier
- <u>Three Key Design Features</u>: ReLU, dropout, data augmentation

From Sigmoid to ReLU



Dropout

- Randomly select weights to update
 - In each update step, randomly sample a different binary mask to all the input and hidden units
 - Multiple the mask bits with the units and do the update as usual
 - Typical dropout probability: 0.2 for input and 0.5 for hidden units
 - Very useful for FC layers, less for conv layers, not useful in RNNs



Data Augmentation

Horizontal Flip Crop Rotate

- Adding noise to the input: a special kind of augmentation
- Be careful about the transformation applied -> label preserving
 - Example: classifying 'b' and 'd'; '6' and '9'

VGG-Net, 2014

			-			
ConvNet Configuration						
А	A-LRN	В	С	D	Е	
11 weight	11 weight	13 weight	16 weight	16 weight	19 weight	
layers	layers	layers	layers	layers	layers	
input $(224 \times 224 \text{ RGB image})$						
conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	
	LRN	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	conv3-64	
conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	
		conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	conv3-128	
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	
conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	
			conv1-256	conv3-256	conv3-256	
					conv3-256	
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	
			conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	
					conv3-512	
maxpool						
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	
conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	
			conv1-512	conv3-512	conv3-512	
					conv3-512	
maxpool						
	FC-4096					
FC-4096						
FC-1000						
soft-max						

Key Technical Features:

- Increase depth (up to 19)
- Smaller filter size (3)

Configurations D and E are widely used for various tasks, called VGG-16 and VGG-19

Deep Residual Network (ResNet), 2015



Key Technical Features: skip connections for residual mapping, up to > 1000 layers

Wide ResNet, 2016



- Widening of ResNet blocks (if done properly) provides a more effective way of improving performance of residual networks compared to increasing their depth.
- A wide 16-layer deep network has the same accuracy as a 1000-layer thin deep network and a comparable number of parameters, although being several times faster to train.

ResNext, 2017

- Core idea: multi-path
 - "Split-transform-merge" strategy
 - New notion of "cardinality" (number of paths)
 - ResNet could be viewed as cardinality = 1
 - Parameter-economic! Why?
 - (Informal) essence: "less function, more diversity"



Figure 1. Left: A block of ResNet [14]. Right: A block of ResNeXt with cardinality = 32, with roughly the same complexity. A layer is shown as (# in channels, filter size, # out channels).

Left #parameter: (256x1x1) x 64 + (64x3x3) x 64 + (64x1x1) x 64 = 69632

• 3.57% Top-5 error rate

Right #parameter: [(256x1x1) x 4 + (4x3x3) x 4 + (4x1x1) x 256] x 32 = 70144

• 3.03% Top-5 error rate

Densely Connected Convolutional Networks (DenseNet), 2017



Key Technical Features:

• Finer combination of multi-scale features (or whatever...)



Top-5 error rate



Next Chapter: What is beyond higher ImageNet accuracy?

Fully Convolutional Network (FCN), 2014



Key Technical Features:

- No fully-connected layer -> No fixed requirement on input size
- Widely adopted in pixel-to-pixel prediction tasks, e.g., image segmentation

U-Net, 2015

Network Architecture



- The architecture consists of a contracting path to capture context
- ...and a symmetric expanding path to enable precise localization.
- Also fully convolutional
- Very popular backbone for dense prediction (image segmentation, restoration...)

Spatial and Channel Attention



Depth-Wise Convolution

- **Depthwise convolution** is the channel-wise spatial convolution.
- It is often used together with pointwise convolution, i.e., 1×1 convolution to change the channel dimension (number of feature maps)



MobileNet (v1)

- Single streamlined, very light-weight architecture
- Main idea: Depthwise Separable Convolutions
- Other ideas: Width Multiplier α for Thinner Models + Resolution Multiplier ρ for Reduced Representation



Table 1. MobileNet Body Architecture				
Type / Stride	Filter Shape	Input Size		
Conv / s2	$3 \times 3 \times 3 \times 32$	$224\times224\times3$		
Conv dw / s1	$3 \times 3 \times 32$ dw	$112\times112\times32$		
Conv / s1	$1 \times 1 \times 32 \times 64$	$112\times112\times32$		
Conv dw / s2	$3 \times 3 \times 64$ dw	$112\times112\times64$		
Conv / s1	$1\times1\times64\times128$	$56 \times 56 \times 64$		
Conv dw / s1	$3 imes3 imes128~{ m dw}$	$56\times 56\times 128$		
Conv / s1	$1\times1\times128\times128$	$56\times 56\times 128$		
Conv dw / s2	3 imes 3 imes 128 dw	$56 \times 56 \times 128$		
Conv / s1	$1\times1\times128\times256$	$28\times28\times128$		
Conv dw / s1	$3 \times 3 \times 256$ dw	$28\times28\times256$		
Conv / s1	$1\times1\times256\times256$	$28\times28\times256$		
Conv dw / s2	3 imes 3 imes 256 dw	$28\times28\times256$		
Conv / s1	$1\times1\times256\times512$	$14\times14\times256$		
$_{5\times}$ Conv dw / s1	$3 \times 3 \times 512$ dw	$14\times14\times512$		
Conv / s1	$1\times1\times512\times512$	$14\times14\times512$		
Conv dw / s2	$3 \times 3 \times 512 \text{ dw}$	$14\times14\times512$		
Conv / s1	$1\times1\times512\times1024$	$7 \times 7 \times 512$		
Conv dw / s2	$3 \times 3 \times 1024 \text{ dw}$	$7\times7\times1024$		
Conv / s1	$1\times1\times1024\times1024$	$7\times7\times1024$		
Avg Pool / s1	Pool 7×7	$7 \times 7 \times 1024$		
FC / s1	1024×1000	$1 \times 1 \times 1024$		
Softmax / s1	Classifier	$1\times1\times1000$		

MobileNet (v2)

- Main idea: inverted residual structure
 - Adding residual connections between the narrow bottleneck layers (considerably more memory efficient - Why?)
 - Non-linearities are removed in narrow layers to maintain representational power
 - The intermediate expansion layer uses lightweight depthwise convolutions to filter features as a source of non-linearity



3D Convolutional Network (3D CNN), 2011



Key Technical Features:

• Going from 2D convolutional filters to 3D filters, to take temporal coherence into consideration

More Efficient Design?

- "Two-streams hypothesis" for human vision
 - The **dorsal stream** involves in the guidance of actions and recognizing where objects are in space. It contains a detailed map of the visual field. and detects & analyzes location movements
 - The ventral stream is associated with object recognition and form representation. Also described as the "what" stream, it has strong connections to the dorsal stream and other brain regions controlling memory or emotion
- Long story short: human brains use two relatively independent systems to recognize objects and to record temporal movements.







Two Stream Network, 2014



Figure 1: Two-stream architecture for video classification.

Slow-Fast Network, 2019

A state-of-the-art two-stream model with

- (i) a Slow pathway, operating at low frame rate, to capture spatial semantics
- (ii) a Fast pathway, operating at high frame rate, to capture motion at fine temporal resolution.


Gradient Descent (GD)

Algorithm 1 Batch Gradient Descent at Iteration k

Require: Learning rate ϵ_k

Require: Initial Parameter θ

- 1: while stopping criteria not met do
- 2: Compute gradient estimate over N examples:
- 3: $\hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow +\frac{1}{N} \nabla_{\theta} \sum_{i} L(f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta), \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$
- 4: Apply Update: $\theta \leftarrow \theta \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{g}}$

5: end while

- Positive: Gradient estimates are stable
- Negative: Need to compute gradients over the entire training for one update

Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

Algorithm 2 Stochastic Gradient Descent at Iteration k

Require: Learning rate ϵ_k

Require: Initial Parameter θ

- 1: while stopping criteria not met do
- 2: Sample example $(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$ from training set
- 3: Compute gradient estimate:
- 4: $\hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow + \nabla_{\theta} L(f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta), \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$
- 5: Apply Update: $\theta \leftarrow \theta \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{g}}$

6: end while

- ϵ_k is learning rate at step k
- Sufficient condition to guarantee convergence:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k = \infty \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_k^2 < \infty$$

GD versus SGD

• Batch Gradient Descent:

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow +\frac{1}{N} \nabla_{\theta} \sum_{i} L(f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta), \mathbf{y}^{(i)})$$
$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{g}}$$

• SGD:

$$\hat{\mathbf{g}} \leftarrow +\nabla_{\theta} L(f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta), \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \\ \theta \leftarrow \theta - \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{g}}$$



Minibatch

- Potential Problem: Gradient estimates can be very noisy
- Obvious Solution: Use larger mini-batches (In theory, growingly larger)
- Advantage: Computation time per update does not depend on number of training examples.
- This allows convergence on extremely large datasets
- The larger MB size the better (only if you can)!!

"Large Scale Learning with Stochastic Gradient Descent", Leon Bottou.

Momentum

- The Momentum method is a method to accelerate learning using SGD
- In particular SGD suffers in the following scenarios:
 - Error surface has high curvature
 - Small but consistent gradients
 - Noisy gradients



• Gradient Descent would move quickly down the walls, but very slowly through the valley floor

Momentum

• Update rule in SGD:

$$\begin{split} \Theta^{(t+1)} &\leftarrow \Theta^{(t)} - \eta \boldsymbol{g}^{(t)} \\ \text{where } \boldsymbol{g}^{(t)} = \nabla_{\Theta} C(\Theta^{(t)}) \\ \bullet & \text{Gets stuck in local minima} \\ \text{or saddle points} \end{split}$$



 Momentum: make the same movement v^(t) in the last iteration, corrected by negative gradient:

$$\boldsymbol{v}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \lambda \boldsymbol{v}^{(t)} - (1 - \lambda) \boldsymbol{g}^{(t)}$$
$$\Theta^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \Theta^{(t)} + \eta \boldsymbol{v}^{(t+1)}$$
$$\boldsymbol{v}^{(t)} \text{ is a moving average of } -\boldsymbol{g}^{(t)}$$



Negative Gredient

Adaptive Learning Rate Optimization

• Popular Solver Examples: AdGrad, RMSProp, Adam

SGD:
$$\theta \leftarrow \theta - \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{g}}$$

Momentum: $\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \alpha \mathbf{v} - \epsilon \hat{\mathbf{g}}$ then $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \mathbf{v}$
Nesterov: $\mathbf{v} \leftarrow \alpha \mathbf{v} - \epsilon \nabla_{\theta} \left(L(f(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}; \theta + \alpha \mathbf{v}), \mathbf{y}^{(i)}) \right)$ then $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \mathbf{v}$
AdaGrad: $\mathbf{r} \leftarrow \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{g} \odot \mathbf{g}$ then $\Delta \theta - \leftarrow \frac{\epsilon}{\delta + \sqrt{\mathbf{r}}} \odot \mathbf{g}$ then $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \Delta \theta$
RMSProp: $\mathbf{r} \leftarrow \rho \mathbf{r} + (1 - \rho) \hat{\mathbf{g}} \odot \hat{\mathbf{g}}$ then $\Delta \theta \leftarrow -\frac{\epsilon}{\delta + \sqrt{\mathbf{r}}} \odot \hat{\mathbf{g}}$ then $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \Delta \theta$
Adam: $\hat{\mathbf{s}} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{s}}{1 - \rho_1^t}, \hat{\mathbf{r}} \leftarrow \frac{\mathbf{r}}{1 - \rho_2^t}$ then $\Delta \theta = -\epsilon \frac{\hat{\mathbf{s}}}{\sqrt{\hat{\mathbf{r}}} + \delta}$ then $\theta \leftarrow \theta + \Delta \theta$

Batch Normalization

- In ML, we assume future data will be drawn from same probability distribution as training data
- For a hidden layer, after training, the earlier layers have new weights and hence may generate a new distribution for the next hidden layer
- We want to reduce this internal covariate shift for the benefit of later layers

Input: Values of x over a mini-batch: $\mathcal{B} = \{x_{1...m}\};$ Parameters to be learned: γ , β **Output:** $\{y_i = BN_{\gamma,\beta}(x_i)\}$ $\mu_{\mathcal{B}} \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_i$ // mini-batch mean $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^2 \leftarrow \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (x_i - \mu_{\mathcal{B}})^2$ // mini-batch variance $\widehat{x}_i \leftarrow \frac{x_i - \mu_{\mathcal{B}}}{\sqrt{\sigma_{\mathcal{B}}^2 + \epsilon}}$ // normalize $y_i \leftarrow \gamma \hat{x}_i + \beta \equiv BN_{\gamma,\beta}(x_i)$ // scale and shift

Algorithm 1: Batch Normalizing Transform, applied to activation *x* over a mini-batch.



Beyond CNNs: Transformer for Vision?

- Towards a general, conceptual simple, and sufficiently versatile architecture yet still achieving competitive performance for vision?
- The inductive bias of CNNs, e.g., spatially invariant and locality-based, also may not be sufficient ...





Basics: Transformer in NLP

- Standard model in NLP tasks
- Only consists of self-attention modules, instead of RNN
- Encoder-decoder
- Requires large dataset and high computational cost
- Pre-training and fine-tuning approaches : BERT & GPT



Figure 1: The Transformer - model architecture.

Basics: Self-Attention



Input: LSC is the best!

Basics: Self-Attention



Basics: Self-Attention



Attention A:



Output:



DETR: End-to-End Object Detection with Transformers (ECCV'20)

• DETR directly predicts (in parallel) the final set of detections by combining a common CNN with a transformer architecture. It does **NOT** rely on the many hand-designed components like in FasterRCNN.



- The takeaway from DETR is bi-folds:
 - DETR achieved comparable performance to Faster R-CNN, but not on par with more recent detectors (especially on small objects), also requiring extra-long training schedule and auxiliary decoding losses
 - DETR showed significant promise of generalizability, e.g., the same model easily applied to panoptic segmentation in a unified manner

"Pure Transformer": Visual Transformer (ViT, ICLR'21)



GIF from https://github.com/lucidrains/vit-pytorch

Implementation

```
def forward(self, img, mask = None):
    p = self.patch_size
```

```
x = rearrange(img, 'b c (h p1) (w p2) -> b (h w) (p1 p2
x = self.patch_to_embedding(x)
```

```
cls_tokens = self.cls_token.expand(img.shape[0], -1, -1)
                                                                   x = torch.cat((cls_tokens, x), dim=1)
                        Vision Transformer (ViT)
                                                                   x += self.pos_embedding
                                                                   x = self.transformer(x, mask)
     Class
      Bird
                      MLP
      Ball
                                                                   x = self.to_cls_token(x[:, 0])
                      Head
      Car
                                                                   return self.mlp_head(x)
       ...
                                                        https://github.com/lucidrains/vit-pytorch/blob/main/vit_pytorch/vit_pytorch.py#L99-L111
                                       Transformer Encoder
                                                                                        Learnable Position Embedding
                                                                                                 E_{pos} \in R^{(N+1) \times D}
Patch + Position
                                                           6
                                                                       8
                                  \begin{bmatrix} 2 \end{bmatrix}
                                                                              9
                                        [3]
                                                     [5]
                                                                  [7]
                            1
                      0*
                                               4
                                                                                          * to retain positional information
  Embedding
 * Extra learnable
                                  Linear Projection of Flattened Patches
 [class] embedding
                                                                                    Trainable linear projection maps
                                                                                       x_p \in R^{N \times (P^2 \cdot C)} \rightarrow x_p \mathsf{E} \in R^{N \times D}
                                                                                      * Because Transformer uses constant
                                                                      widths, model dimension , through all of its layers
```

Image $x \in R^{H \times W \times C} \rightarrow A$ sequence of flattened 2D patches $x_p \in R^{N \times (P^2 \cdot C)}$

Implementation



Implementation

class Attention(nn.Module): def __init__(self, dim, heads = 8): super().__init__() self.heads = heads self.scale = dim ** -0.5

return out



```
self.to_gkv = nn.Linear(dim, dim * 3, bias = False)
   self.to_out = nn.Linear(dim, dim)
def forward(self, x, mask = None):
   b, n, _, h = *x.shape, self.heads
   qkv = self.to_qkv(x)
   q, k, v = rearrange(qkv, 'b n (qkv h d) \rightarrow qkv b h n d', qkv = 3, h = h)
   dots = torch.einsum('bhid,bhjd->bhij', q, k) * self.scale
   if mask is not None:
        mask = F.pad(mask.flatten(1), (1, 0), value = True)
        assert mask.shape[-1] == dots.shape[-1], 'mask has incorrect dimensions'
        mask = mask[:, None, :] * mask[:, :, None]
        dots.masked fill (~mask, float('-inf'))
        del mask
   attn = dots.softmax(dim=-1)
   out = torch.einsum('bhij,bhjd->bhid', attn, v)
   out = rearrange(out, 'b h n d -> b n (h d)')
   out = self.to out(out)
```

https://github.com/lucidrains/vit-pytorch/blob/main/vit_pytorch/vit_pytorch.py

Experiments

	Ours (ViT-H/14)	Ours (ViT-L/16)	BiT-L (ResNet152x4)	Noisy Student (EfficientNet-L2)
ImageNet	88.36	87.61 ± 0.03	87.54 ± 0.02	$88.4/88.5^*$
ImageNet ReaL	90.77	90.24 ± 0.03	90.54	90.55
CIFAR-10	99.50 ± 0.06	99.42 ± 0.03	99.37 ± 0.06	—
CIFAR-100	94.55 ± 0.04	93.90 ± 0.05	93.51 ± 0.08	_
Oxford-IIIT Pets	97.56 ± 0.03	97.32 ± 0.11	96.62 ± 0.23	—
Oxford Flowers-102	99.68 ± 0.02	99.74 ± 0.00	99.63 ± 0.03	_
VTAB (19 tasks)	77.16 ± 0.29	75.91 ± 0.18	76.29 ± 1.70	
TPUv3-days	2.5k	0.68k	9.9k	12.3k



Figure 2: Breakdown of VTAB performance in Natural, Specialized, and Structured task groups.

Experiments



Figure 3: Transfer to ImageNet. While large ViT models perform worse than BiT ResNets (shaded area) when pre-trained on small datasets, they shine when pre-trained on larger datasets. Similarly, larger ViT variants overtake smaller ones as the dataset grows. Figure 4: Linear few-shot evaluation on ImageNet versus pre-training size. ResNets perform better with smaller pre-training datasets but plateau sooner than ViT which performs better with larger pre-training. ViT-b is ViT-B with all hidden dimensions halved.

Experiments



Performance versus cost for different architectures: Vision Transformers, ResNets, and hybrids. Vision Transformers generally outperform ResNets with the same computational budget. Hybrids improve upon pure Transformers for smaller model sizes, but the gap vanishes for larger models.

DeIT: Data-efficient Image Transformers

- The first competitive convolution-free transformer by training on Imagenet only
- Trained using a teacher-student strategy specific to transformers
 - It relies on a distillation token ensuring that the student learns from the teacher through attention.
- When using CNN as teacher, the distilled model outperforms its teacher in terms of the trade-off between accuracy and throughput



CvT: Convolutions into Vision Transformers



- Each stage starts with a convolutional token embedding that performs an overlapping convolution operation on a 2D-reshaped token map
- The linear projection prior to every self-attention block is replaced with a depth-wise separable convolution as the projection

Swin Transformer (ICCV'21 best paper)



- Swin: hierarchical feature maps by merging image patches
 - linear computation complexity to input image size due to computation of selfattention only within each local window (using Shifted windows)

Swin Transformer: Pipeline Overview



Figure 3. (a) The architecture of a Swin Transformer (Swin-T); (b) two successive Swin Transformer Blocks (notation presented with Eq. (3)). W-MSA and SW-MSA are multi-head self attention modules with regular and shifted windowing configurations, respectively.

Swin Transformer: Shifted Window





TimeSformer: ViT for Video





DINO: Self-Supervised Learning with ViTs

Source: https://ai.facebook.com/blog/dino-paws-computer-vision-with-self-supervised-transformers-and-10x-more-efficient-training/

DINO: Self-Supervised Learning with ViTs



Multi-Modality: Video-Audio-Text Transformer (VATT)



Figure 1: Overview of the VATT architecture and the self-supervised, multimodal learning strategy. VATT linearly projects each modality into a feature vector and feeds it into a Transformer encoder. We define a semantically hierarchical common space to account for the granularity of different modalities and employ the Noise Contrastive Estimation (NCE) to train the model.

Ongoing Debate: ViTs Should Go More Complicated or Less?

- Adding "convolution-like" inductive bias and structures
 - Injecting convolution layers, pyramid structure, dense connections, sliding windows, multi-sized views or attention windows ...
- … Or just, keep it simple and "universal"?
 - **Example:** W. Chen et. al., "A Simple Single-Scale Vision Transformer for Object Detection and Instance Segmentation", ECCV 2022
 - Someone goes even further: MLP-Mixer, Conv-Mixer, Perceiver-IO...



Look Back: ConvNets

- Inductive biases
- Translation equivariance
- Shared computations
- Hierarchical feature maps

- Typical build: "going deeper with small convolutions"
- Pros versus Cons?

Look Back: Vision Transformers

- Plain transformers outperform ResNets by a significant margin
 - mostly on image classification, only recently on detection/segmentation
- No hierarchical feature maps
- Quadratic complexity with respect to the input size

No silver bullets! What is in-between ConvNets and ViTs?

ConvNeXt – 7x7

- ConvNeXts compete favourably with Transformers on image classification.
- ConvNeXts outperforms Swin Transformer on general computer vision tasks such as object detection and semantic segmentation.



RepLKNet – 31x31

• Large Kernels + Structural Reparameterization

 Achieving comparable or superior results than Swin on ImageNet + a few downstream tasks.






Sparse Large Kernel Network (SLaK)

Starting from ConvNeXt ... Kernel size **51x51**

- Increase the kernel size of stages to [51, 49, 47, 13]
- Construct sparse decomposed kernels (sparsity=0.4, N=5)
- Use sparse groups, expand model width to 1.3x







The University of Texas at Austin Electrical and Computer Engineering Cockrell School of Engineering